



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 P

	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
APPLICATION NO.		Frederick L. Hoyle JR.	P68999US0	3509		
10/699,888	11/04/2003	Treatment Dr. Tray	EXAMINER			
	7590 05/06/2005 HOLMAN PLLC		SILBERMAN	SILBERMANN, JOANNE		
	H STREET N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SUITE 600 WASHINGTO	ON, DC 20004		3611			

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/699,888		HOYLE, FREDER	RICK L.			
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Joanne Silbermar	ın	3611				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app r Reply	ears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	idress			
A SHO THE I - Exter after: - If the - If NO - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Notice of time may be valiable under the provisions of 3 of Rf. 1. SK (9) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. For provider proper specified above is less than thiry (60) days, a repl- period for reply specified above in less than thiry (60) days, a repl- period for reply specified above in the same statutory period to to reply within the sef or estended period for reply will, by statute poly received by the Office lister than there common after the mailine deplared two made adjustment. See 3 T CFR 1.794(b).	36(a). In no event, however y within the statutory mining will apply and will expire S	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days (X (6) MONTHS from	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this of	ely. communication.			
Status			9					
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1	935 C.D. 11, 4:	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application.							
,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from considera	ition.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4 and 8 is/are rejected.							
7)🖂	Claim(s) 3 and 5-7 is/are objected to.							
. 8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election require	nent.					
Applicat	tion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		2				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	nts have been rece	eived.		100			
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	onty documents h	ave been recen	ved in this Nation	ai Stage			
	application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).	red.				
*	See the attached detailed Office action for a list	st of the certified c	opies not recen	rcu.				
		-						
Attachme	ont(e)		•					
	ent(s) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗀	Interview Summa		,			
2) Not	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	. 5	Paper No(s)/Mail	Date Patent Application (F	PTO-152)			
3) Info	ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 per No(s)/Mail Date	8) S) L	Other:		11			
U.S. Patent and	Trademark Office			Part of Paper No.//	Mail Date 050205			
PTOL-326	(Rev. 1-04) . Office	Action Summary		alt of raper No.n	50.0 030200			

Application/Control Number: 10/699,888

Art Unit: 3611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite
 for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant
 regards as the invention.

It is not clear how the lock is mounted on the back bar (line 4) and the engagement means for engaging the lock is also mounted on the back bar (line 7). It appears that the lock is mounted on the front bar.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Paulhill, US #6,481,126.
- 5. Paulhill teaches a license plate locking assembly including a back bar having long first and second opposed edges (Figure 3), lock 30 mounted to the front and back bars (as best as the instant claim can be understood), and engagement means 33 on the back bar for engaging the lock. Front 21 and back 31 are slidably engageable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/699,888

Art Unit: 3611

6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 1, 2, 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Paulhill.
- 8. Paulhill does not teach apertures for fasteners on the back bar, however, this is considered to be a reversal of known parts. Paulhill teaches the apertures 26 in the front bar that are covered by tabs 32 from the back bar. It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to place the apertures in the back bar and cover them with the front since it has been held that a mere reversal of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to
applicant's disclosure. US patents 2661558, 1524848, 4584856 and 5983539 are cited
as of interest.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 3 and 5-7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 10/699,888

Art Unit: 3611

11. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: A license plate lock as described in claim 1 and further including the rails comprising opposed, spaced fingers is not shown or suggested by the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joanne Silbermann whose telephone number is 571-272-6653. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30 - 2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 571-272-6651. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Janne Silbermanr Primary Examiner Art Unit 3611